

## THREE KINGS QUARRY SITE LIAISON GROUP MEETING

### MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON MONDAY 18<sup>TH</sup> JULY 2016 AT THE LYNFIELD ROOM, FICKLING CONVENTION CENTRE, COMMENCING 7.05 PM

#### PRESENT:

#### Winstone Aggregates / Fletcher Attendees:

Andrea Cave, Chris Edmonds, Nicolas Giraldo (Development Manager, Fletcher Residential Ltd), Mike Harris, William Hay, Angela Klein, Sandra Kelly (Minute Taker)

#### Elected Representative Attendees:

Harry Doig, Puketapapa Local Board

#### Auckland Council Attendees:

Invited but none in attendance

#### Residents and Interested Parties:

Professor Dick Bellamy (South Epsom Planning Group), Garry Bryant (Three Kings United Group), Bill Cameron, Robin Duke, Stephen Evans, Anne-Marie Jammes, Teo Kait, Lim Siew Lian, O.M. Lichtnecker, Bill Mack, Chris and Pip Mules (South Epsom Planning Group), Jill Parfitt, Dawn Taylor, Robert and Margaret Ting, Chrissie Wright

David Towle (Chairperson/Facilitator)

---

#### **1. WELCOME BY CHAIR**

David Towle welcomed everybody to the meeting.

#### **2. APOLOGIES**

Alan Bigelow, Michael Wood, Gerry Wright.

Moved: D. Towle, THAT the Apologies be accepted.

**Carried**

### **3. CONFIRMATION OF PREVIOUS MINUTES**

Moved: A. Cave, Seconded: D. Towle, THAT the Minutes of the Meeting dated 29<sup>th</sup> February 2016 be accepted as a true and accurate record.

**Carried**

### **4. MATTERS ARISING / ACTION ITEMS**

A summary of actions from the previous meeting Minutes were outlined as follows:

#### **Action 1 – S. Pearce to request summary report regarding rising AP92 settlement mark.**

Nobody from Council present in his absence, therefore Action Item is again carried until the next meeting.

**Action: Bring up at next meeting**

#### **Action 2 – A. Cave to provide an updated Organisation Chart.**

Chart was displayed on the screen. Mark Adamson is the Chief Executive Officer of Fletcher Building, and reporting to him is Matthew Crockett, Chief Executive of Heavy Building Products. Winstone Aggregates sits underneath that umbrella, and Ian Jones is the General Manager of GBC Winstone. An organisation chart to be added to the Three Kings website.

**Action: A. Cave**

#### **Action 3 – S. Evans to bring site model.**

S. Evans was not at the meeting. The model is out of date and is packed away, however N. Giraldo has a handout to this effect which could be the subject of a future presentation.

D. Bellamy asked several questions around the division of responsibility between GBC Winstone and Fletcher Building at the Three Kings site. In reply N. Giraldo explained that Fletcher Residential Ltd, a Division of Fletcher Building has overall responsibility as they are now the landowners. GBC Winstone are the main operator for the quarried portion of the site and they are responsible for day to day operations. Decisions about future rock removal will be made by Fletcher Residential Ltd.

G. Bryant asked about the SLG meetings now and who is in charge as a result of the change in land ownership. N. Giraldo confirmed that Fletcher Living has overall responsibility including the final contours. G. Bryant suggested perhaps it is time for the responsibility of the site meetings to change from GBC Winstone to Fletcher Residential. D. Towle said if we wish to broaden the scope of these meetings for discussions and forum by Fletcher Residential, then he is happy as Chair to facilitate this but we would need to give Fletcher Building notice of our intention to do so. We should discuss this matter tonight. A showing of hands agreed to this, which will come up for discussion under the Public Forum section.

### **5. PUBLIC FORUM**

David Towle has felt that to date, the meetings have been mainly focused on operational matters. He suggested that in order to maximize time at the meetings, agendas and relevant documentation be issued in advance on the website so people can read these prior and bring any questions to the meeting, then discuss future development issues. G. Bryant also suggested that GBC Winstone include a summary of the Monitoring Reports in the meeting invite and agenda which is sent out, e.g. An Exception Report only, to be briefly discussed at the meetings. It was agreed by those in attendance that future meetings are to proceed along

those lines. D. Bellamy added that a link to the Reports, when the Agenda is sent via email, would also assist.

**Action: A. Klein and W. Hay**

A. Klein asked that if anybody is still receiving paper agendas via post, please forward your email address to her so that she can update the database. Her email address is [angela.klein@gbcwinstone.co.nz](mailto:angela.klein@gbcwinstone.co.nz)

At the moment there are critical planning issues and Fletcher Living are currently waiting for other documentation to be released. These will have an impact on the next meeting. A question was raised around what subjects do residents want included for discussion on Future Development? G. Bryant mentioned they are still waiting on documentation to be released, e.g. The Environment Court's decision. N. Giraldo said he would like to discuss with residents the timing, general parameters and design, Consent conditions etc., as it is valuable to discuss these matters with the community. In particular the impact of construction works, traffic, parking, effects on the school and the local buildings.

Resident spoke about the Stonefields Development where Fletcher Residential Ltd built housing on behalf of Todd Property. A question was asked around what issues arose with that particular development, e.g. Feedback from property developers, what can we learn from that (compaction, drainage etc.). N. Giraldo commented that each site has unique characteristics but that there are some learnings, which he is willing to discuss at a future date.

D. Bellamy reiterated there is a huge amount of documentation and prior history; however now there is a whole new echelon of people in the company who have had much less input than the community. He feels that in the last 15 years, there has been a track record of no consultation or discussion held in advance of decision-making, which the community have been expected to just accept. With respect to the decisions to date, there were 13 Hui held with a number of relatively minor Iwi, but not a single genuine one with the community. If Fletchers wish to engage in meaningful discussions, they would need to gain the community's trust. After the Environment Court Hearing, a decision was made which was clearly out of scope with the Plan Change and would need a new Consent. He feels these meetings will continue on without discussion on removing quantity and rock from the site. I ask the GBC Winstone attendees – what discussions have they had with Fletcher Residential regarding the removal of rock? Commitment to attend these meetings with this new Agenda item on Future Development.

C. Mules asked what has happened to the Three Kings Plan as need the Community Board to advise what is the situation with the Plan and the connection with the development of the quarry and the rest of the Three Kings community area? Resident asked about the impact of future planning and what rules and responsibilities the company and the community has, i.e. Who is doing what? N. Giraldo said if we are going to talk about changes to the Three Kings Plan, then that is consultation which would need to be formalized and led by the Local Board. However, Fletcher Residential wants to engage with the local community on the redevelopment project; the nature of this engagement can and will change depending on the subject. The resident added consultation and engagement are two totally different areas.

Moved: C Mules, Seconded: N. Giraldo, THAT a future standard Agenda item be added, headed "Future Development", at which suitably qualified and authorised Fletcher Residential Ltd and GBC Winstone representatives present on relevant future development matters for the proposed future residential development of the quarry land, including the adjacent special housing land, such presentations and discussions to also include Local Board representation and the impact on the wider area and the area effected by the Dewatering Consent.

**Action: A. Klein**

## 6. SITE MONITORING REPORT

M. Harris, Engineering Geologist, presented his Report (a copy of which is also on the company's website).

Points to note include:

### Groundwater Level Monitoring

Continues to be in the vicinity of RL35 to RL36.

### Groundwater Chemistry Monitoring

Testing carried out in March 2016. There was a zinc trigger in BH7.

### Continuous Groundwater Quality Monitoring

New pH & EC probes were installed at the Three Kings Quarry pumping bore in August 2015. The new probes are showing more consistent results although a slight upward drift in pH has been recorded since mid to late January 2016. The recorded readings are still well within the minimum and maximum trigger values. G. Bryant asked why does drifting occur in the new equipment installed? M. Harris and A. Klein replied that some drift is expected over the lifetime of the electrode (which is approx. 1 year). The electrode was replaced during the most recent calibration conducted last week, however we are still awaiting the calibration report. D. Bellamy then asked how often are the machines calibrated? M. Harris replied a full calibration is carried out every three months. G. Bryant felt the company is never forthcoming with actions on triggers.

*Additional note: Since the meeting, we can confirm that weekly physical sampling of the bore water in addition to the continuous monitoring has recommenced.*

### Air Quality Monitoring

Two BAM units are installed to conduct air quality monitoring. An exceedance was recorded on 4<sup>th</sup> May at the Southern Boundary BAM unit. The exceedance was believed to be due to a combination of factors including: heavy fog, a lime stabilization trial conducted onsite in the morning and visible discharges from Danske Mobler site.

D. Bellamy asked where in the Fill Consent does it state that the company can use lime? He added that one would expect that the 30 tonnes of lime delivered to the site would have an effect on the pH of the water. He then asked where it would go to, to which M. Harris replied it would be mixed in with the fill. D. Bellamy then stated this should be raised with the Council representative (who is not at this meeting). He believes, on the surface, it appears that it should have had Council Consent.

Check with Council if lime is permitted in the Consent. **Action: A. Cave**

D. Bellamy requested GBC Winstone supply a copy of the written approval from Auckland Council to place 30 tonnes of lime, or was it just arranged over the telephone? A. Cave to check and report back to the next meeting. **Action: A. Cave**

### Noise Monitoring Results

W. Hay answered G. Bryant's question about Marshall Day's survey and the level of sound coming from the site.

### Precise Level Survey Results

A survey was undertaken in March-April 2016 with 267 survey marks being surveyed.

D. Bellamy suggested that the data which is presented should be peer reviewed by a geo-technical person who has had no prior association with the quarry. Tonkin & Taylor usually carry out the review, however D. Bellamy has asked that someone else conduct these in the future. **Action: M. Harris to investigate**

D. Bellamy then asked who do residents now communicate with on their concerns about settlements in relation to dewatering? N. Giraldo replied that it remains with GBC Winstone.

M. Harris commented on D. Bellamy presenting, at an earlier meeting, data which he had arranged through a third party.

### Summary of Differential Triggers

Of the 14 differential settlement triggers currently being recorded:

- 9 triggers were the result of survey marks being affected by factors other than dewatering, and
- the remaining 5 are not showing significant adverse trends and are generally consistent with the findings of the 2004 Dewatering Resource Consent Review. Therefore, no further action is required.

A stage control level summary table was displayed.

## **7. QUARRY AND FILL OPERATIONS**

William Hay presented his Report. Points to note from this Report, along with Angela Klein's input, included:

Current and 3 month area of operations plan was displayed.

13 possums caught since the last meeting.

G. Bryant asked why machinery was parked up and was advised that some machines are parked up during winter when not required.

An average of 165 truck movements per day had been recorded over the last six months.

G. Bryant queried the Traffic Management Plan for the SHA and asked if the two plans should be merged together? N. Giraldo provided an explanation.

G. Bryant noted a lot of movement from both operations (quarry cleanfill and SHA activities) which resulted in dirt deposits along Mt Eden Road. Therefore, who is responsible when there are two Traffic Management Plans in place? G. Bryant added that he had walked along Mt Eden Road today and reported the gutters were very dirty and drains blocked. He mentioned that he had raised this issue at the last meeting, therefore why the delay? W. Hay responded that since the last meeting the road sweep frequency was increased from once a month to once a fortnight and that the road sweep will now be increased to once a week. Residents were advised to telephone the quarry if they noticed build-up in the gutters and drains, or rocks on the road, IMMEDIATELY.

Harry Doig asked whether N. Giraldo was confident that fill operation is not causing a nuisance or a health and safety risk to the community (in the context of holding aloft a large rock claimed to have been found on Mt Eden Road). N. Giraldo responded that is certainly a concern if the rock H. Doig was holding had been found near the quarry and asked that H. Doig shared the details (date, time, etc.) so that the Fletcher Health and Safety team could investigate. What additional measures can be put in place to stop this occurring? W. Hay to discuss with the SHA. N. Giraldo would like to follow this matter up, especially the matter of rocks caught up in duals. G. Bryant advised Fletcher Residential have not been responding to residents' complaints on truck safety, therefore suggested that there should be one point of contact, not two.

**Action: W. Hay / N. Giraldo**

### **Incidents and Complaints**

Clay on the road – SHA are not required to put trucks through the wheel wash, whereas GBC Winstone quarry trucks are.

Noise from the filling operation – compaction equipment will be used when the wet weather ceases. Compaction equipment is currently parked up as the fill material is too wet to work with. G. Bryant responded that he made a complaint on 25 April which was Anzac Day, as site should have been closed on a Public Holiday.

Andrea Cave spoke on the construction of storm water drains etc. which are at a very preliminary stage.

On 9<sup>th</sup> May a test load was quarantined and sampled. Results showed a BaP (eq) exceedance, which upon investigation was found to be due to cured asphalt present in the load.

A. Klein advised that as of February 2016, all non-pre-approved material is now tipped at a separate tip head which is isolated from the general fill. This material is held in isolation until analytical testing (1 in every 150 loads) has confirmed it is compliant with the Three Kings acceptance criteria.

### **8. GENERAL BUSINESS**

Nil.

### **9. NEXT MEETING**

**Monday 7<sup>th</sup> November 2016**  
Meeting declared closed at 9.30 pm